







Weak Values and Measurement of the Photon's Wavefunction

Robert W. Boyd

Department of Physics and Max-Planck Centre for Extreme and Quantum Photonics University of Ottawa

> The Institute of Optics and Department of Physics and Astronomy University of Rochester

Department of Physics and Astronomy University of Glasgow

Please feel free to photograph my visuals if you want to.

Princeton-TAMU Symposium on Quantum Physics and Engineering, Princeton University, June 15-17, 2017.

Weak Values and Measurement of the Photon's Wavefunction

- 1. Introduction to weak values
- 2. Weak value amplification
- 3. Direct measurement of the wavefunction using weak values

 (a) Lundeen's method
 (b) Measurement of a 27-dimensional quantum state
 (c) Direct Measurement of a million-dimensional quantum state
- 4. Direct Measurement of the Wigner function

How the Result of a Measurement of a Component of the Spin of a Spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ Particle Can Turn Out to be 100

Yakir Aharonov, David Z. Albert, and Lev Vaidman

Physics Department, University of South Carolina, Columbia, South Carolina 29208, and School of Physics and Astronomy, Tel-Aviv University, Ramat Aviv 69978, Israel (Received 30 June 1987)

We have found that the usual measuring procedure for preselected and postselected ensembles of quantum systems gives unusual results. Under some natural conditions of weakness of the measurement, its result consistently defines a new kind of value for a quantum variable, which we call the weak value. A description of the measurement of the weak value of a component of a spin for an ensemble of preselected and postselected spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ particles is presented.

PACS numbers: 03.65.Bz

standard expectation value: $\langle A \rangle = \langle \Psi | \hat{A} | \Psi \rangle$

weak value: $A_w \equiv \langle \psi_f | A | \psi_{in} \rangle / \langle \psi_f | \psi_{in} \rangle$.

Why are weak values important? can lead to amplification of small signals can lead to direct measurement of the quantum wavefunction

Realization of a Measurement of a "Weak Value"

N. W. M. Ritchie, J. G. Story, and Randall G. Hulet

Department of Physics and Rice Quantum Institute, Rice University, Houston, Texas 77251-1892 (Received 7 December 1990)



G

Ultrasensitive Beam Deflection Measurement via Interferometric Weak Value Amplification

P. Ben Dixon, David J. Starling, Andrew N. Jordan, and John C. Howell

Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Rochester, Rochester, New York 14627, USA (Received 12 January 2009; published 27 April 2009)

We report on the use of an interferometric weak value technique to amplify very small transverse deflections of an optical beam. By entangling the beam's transverse degrees of freedom with the which-path states of a Sagnac interferometer, it is possible to realize an optical amplifier for polarization independent deflections. The theory for the interferometric weak value amplification method is presented along with the experimental results, which are in good agreement. Of particular interest, we measured the angular deflection of a mirror down to 400 ± 200 frad and the linear travel of a piezo actuator down to 14 ± 7 fm.

(400 frad corresponds to 150 micrometers at the distance to the moon.)



Weak Values and Measurement of the Photon's Wavefunction

- 1. Introduction to weak values
- 2. Weak value amplification
- 3. Direct measurement of the wavefunction using weak values

 (a) Lundeen's method
 (b) Measurement of a 27-dimensional quantum state
 (c) Direct Measurement of a million-dimensional quantum state
- 4. Direct Measurement of the Wigner function

LETTER

Direct measurement of the quantum wavefunction

Jeff S. Lundeen¹, Brandon Sutherland¹, Aabid Patel¹, Corey Stewart¹ & Charles Bamber¹

$$\langle A \rangle_{\mathrm{W}} = \frac{\langle c | A | \Psi \rangle}{\langle c | \Psi \rangle}$$

Returning to our example of a single particle, consider the weak measurement of position ($A = \pi_x \equiv |x\rangle \langle x|$) followed by a strong measurement of momentum giving P = p. In this case, the weak value is:

$$\langle \pi_x \rangle_{\mathrm{W}} = \frac{\langle p | x \rangle \langle x | \Psi \rangle}{\langle p | \Psi \rangle}$$
(2)

$$=\frac{e^{ipx/\hbar}\Psi(x)}{\Phi(p)}\tag{3}$$

In the case p = 0, this simplifies to

$$\langle \pi_x \rangle_{\mathrm{W}} = k \Psi(x)$$
 (4)

where $k = 1/\Phi(0)$ is a constant (which can be eliminated later by normalizing the wavefunction). The average result of the weak mea-

Direct Measurement of the Photon "Wavefunction"



Typical results



Weak Values and Measurement of the Photon's Wavefunction

- 1. Introduction to weak values
- 2. Weak value amplification
- 3. Direct measurement of the wavefunction using weak values

 (a) Lundeen's method
 (b) Measurement of a 27-dimensional quantum state
 (c) Direct Measurement of a million-dimensional quantum state
- 4. Direct Measurement of the Wigner function

High Dimensional QKD Protocol

We are constructing a QKD system in which each photon carries many bits of information We encode in states that carry OAM such as the Laguerre-Gauss states As a diagnostic, we need to be able to measure the statevector of OAM states

Single Photon States

Laguerre-Gaussian Basis
$$\ell =$$

 $\ell = -13, \dots, 13$



"Angular" Basis (mutually unbiased with respect to LG)



Direct Measurement of a High-Dimensional OAM State



Direct Measurement of the OAM State Vector



 We prepare a test wave function shaped as an angular wedged such that

$$|\Psi\rangle = \sum_{\ell} a_{\ell} |\ell\rangle$$
$$a_{\ell} = \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{d} \operatorname{sinc}\left(\frac{\ell\pi}{d}\right)$$



Direct Measurement Procedure Properly Measures Phase



Weak Values and Measurement of the Photon's Wavefunction

- 1. Introduction to weak values
- 2. Weak value amplification
- 3. Direct measurement of the wavefunction using weak values

 (a) Lundeen's method
 (b) Measurement of a 27-dimensional quantum state
 (c) Direct Measurement of a million-dimensional quantum state
- 4. Direct Measurement of the Wigner function

Direct Measurement of a one-milliondimensional photonic states



<u>Zhimin Shi¹</u>, Mohammad Mirhosseini², Jessica Margiewicz¹, Mehul Malik^{2;3}, Freida Rivera¹, Ziyi Zhu¹ and Robert W. Boyd^{2;4}

Email: zhiminshi@usf.edu

¹Department of Physics, University of South Florida, Tampa, Florida 33620, USA ²The Institute of Optics, University of Rochester, Rochester, New York 14627 USA ³IQOQI, Austrian Academy of Sciences, Boltzmanngasse 3, A-1090 Vienna, Austria ⁴Department of Physics, University of Ottawa, Ottawa, ON K1N 6N5 Canada





Conventional approach: quantum state tomography

- for a *N*-dimensional quantum state
 - 1. design N^2 -1 projection measurement.
 - 2. take the measurements in sequence.
 - 3. post-process the data to reconstruct the quantum state.



Example: polarization state $|\psi\rangle = \alpha |H\rangle + \beta |V\rangle$ Needs to measure S_1 , S_2 and S_3 $S_1 = |\alpha|^2 - |\beta|^2$ $S_2 = 2\Re (\alpha \beta^*)$ \Longrightarrow Reconstruct α and β $S_3 = -2\Im (\alpha \beta^*)$





Conventional Direct Measurement



Final measurement result (weak value)

$$A_{\rm w}(x) = \frac{\langle p_0 | x \rangle \langle x | \psi \rangle}{\langle p_0 | \psi \rangle} \propto \psi(x)$$



Z. Shi @ PIERS 2016 | <zhiminshi@usf.edu>



Our approach: scan-free direct measurement



Final measurement result
$$\langle \pi_p
angle_x^{
m w} = rac{\langle x | p
angle \langle p | \Psi
angle}{\langle x | \Psi
angle} = rac{e^{i \hbar p x} \tilde{\Psi}(p)}{\Psi(x)}$$
 (weak value)

- Shi et al., Optica, 2(4), 388-392 (2015)



Weak measurement using polarization pointer state



$$\langle s_{\rm f} | \hat{\sigma}_1 | s_{\rm f} \rangle = \frac{-2t \sin \alpha}{\hbar} \Re \left[\langle \pi_{p_0} \rangle_x^{\rm W} \right]$$
$$\langle s_{\rm f} | \hat{\sigma}_2 | s_{\rm f} \rangle = \frac{2t \sin \alpha}{\hbar} \Im \left[\langle \pi_{p_0} \rangle_x^{\rm W} \right]$$



8/8/2016

Z. Shi @ PIERS 2016 | <zhiminshi@usf.edu>





Scan-free direct measurement of an extremely high-dimensional photonic state

ZHIMIN SHI,^{1,*} MOHAMMAD MIRHOSSEINI,² JESSICA MARGIEWICZ,¹ MEHUL MALIK,^{2,3} FREIDA RIVERA,¹ ZIYI ZHU,¹ AND ROBERT W. BOYD^{2,4}

Laboratory setup



Laboratory results for OAM beams



Weak Values and Measurement of the Photon's Wavefunction

- 1. Introduction to weak values
- 2. Weak value amplification
- 3. Direct measurement of the wavefunction using weak values

 (a) Lundeen's method
 (b) Measurement of a 27-dimensional quantum state
 (c) Direct Measurement of a million-dimensional quantum state
- 4. Direct Measurement of the Wigner function

Characterization of partially coherent states

- The knowledge of amplitude and phase is not adequate for partially coherent states.
- The quantum state is described using more complicated mathematical functions such as density matrix or Wigner distribution function.
- Quantum state tomography is the standard way of finding the Wigner function in \hat{a} and \hat{a}^{\dagger} basis.



Lvovsky and Raymer. Reviews of Modern Physics, 2009



Wigner distribution of twisted photons

Wigner distribution simultaneously store position and momentum representations

$$P(x,p) = \frac{1}{\pi \hbar} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \langle x+y | \hat{\rho} | x-y \rangle e^{-2ipy/\hbar} \, dy,$$

Wigner, E. (1932). Physical Review, 40(5), 749–759.

Alonso, M. A. (2011). Advances in Optics and Photonics, 3(4), 272–365.

• The conjugate of OAM is azimuthal angle. Angle is a tricky coordinate.

$$\begin{split} & [\hat{\theta}, \hat{\ell}] = ? \quad \text{Barnett, S. M., \& Pegg, D. T. (1990). Physical Review A, 41(7), 3427–3435.} \\ & W(\theta, \ell) = \frac{1}{d} \sum_{\tau = -N}^{N} \exp\left(-\frac{4\pi i}{d}\ell\tau\right) \langle \theta - \tau | \hat{\rho} | \theta + \tau \rangle. \end{split}$$

Leonhardt, U. (1995). Physical Review Letters, 74(21), 4101–4105.

Wigner distribution of twisted photons

$$W(\theta, \ell) = \frac{1}{d} \sum_{\tau=-N}^{N} \exp\left(-\frac{4\pi i}{d}\ell\tau\right) \langle \theta - \tau | \hat{\rho} | \theta + \tau \rangle.$$

• We prepare the initial state (polarization is the pointer)



 $\hat{\rho} \times |D\rangle \langle D|$

We perform a polarization-sensitive rotation.



Η



original state ro

+





 $\hat{U}(\tau) = \exp\left(-\frac{2\pi i}{d}\tau\hat{L}\otimes\hat{\sigma}_z\right)$

• We post-select on an angle eigenstate.



$$\langle \hat{\sigma}_x(\theta, \tau) \rangle = \frac{2}{N(\theta, \tau)} \operatorname{Re} \left[\langle \theta - \tau | \hat{\rho} | \theta + \tau \rangle \right]$$
$$\langle \hat{\sigma}_y(\theta, \tau) \rangle = \frac{2}{N(\theta, \tau)} \operatorname{Im} \left[\langle \theta - \tau | \hat{\rho} | \theta + \tau \rangle \right]$$



Measurement of the density matrix, the Wigner function, and its marginals

 $\operatorname{Re}[\rho(\theta, \theta')]$

Classical light field. l = -1,





27

Coherent superposition vs incoherent mixture

Full characterization requires understanding of the state's behavior ulletin both OAM and ANG bases.



Mirhosseini et al. Physical Review Letters, (2016).



Wigner function of a "single" photon





Happy Birthday Wolfgang!